1 Todd M. Friedman (216752) **Darin Shaw (251037)** Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 3 369 S. Dohenv Dr. #415 **Beverly Hills, CA 90211** Phone: 877 206-4741 5 Fax: 866 633-0228 6 tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com dshaw@attorneysforconsumers.com 7 **Attorney for Plaintiff** 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. '11CV0689 L 12 MELISSA MURPHY, MDD 13 **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** Plaintiff, 14 OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT **COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** VS. 15 AND ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT 16 PORTFOLIO RECOVERY **COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** ASSOCIATES, LLC, 17 18 Defendant. 19 20 I. INTRODUCTION 21 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for 22 Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 23 24 §1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection 25 Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), both of 26 27 which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair 28 practices.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k (d).

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Melissa Murphy ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in San Diego county in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h).
- 4. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, ("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

- 6. In November 2010, Defendant initially contacted Plaintiff connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 7. On at least one occasion, Plaintiff has requested, during a conversation with Defendant, that Defendant cease and desist from contacting her by telephone and to send her information by mail.
- 8. Despite being requested by Plaintiff to cease and desist telephone contact, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiff by telephone in connection with an attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 9. On at least one occasion, Defendant threatened to seize Plaintiff's property and/or garnish Plaintiff's wages for failure to pay the alleged debt.
- 10. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
 - a) Communicating with Plaintiff at times or places which were known or should have been known to be inconvenient for Plaintiff, including calling Plaintiff after Plaintiff explicitly told Defendant in conversation not to call any more to collect the debt in question (§1692c(a)(1));
 - b) Using unfair or unconscionable means against Plaintiff in connection with an attempt to collect a debt (§1692f)); and
 - c) Threatening Plaintiff that nonpayment of Plaintiff's debt may result in the arrest of Plaintiff or the seizure, garnishment, attachment or sale of any property or the garnishment or attachment of wages of Plaintiff, where such action was not in fact contemplated by the debt collector and permitted by the law (Cal Civ Code §1788.10(e)).

11. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 13. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 14. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

15. Further, §1788.17 of the RFDCPA mandates that every debt collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k of, Title 15 of the United States Code statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692d, and §1692d(5).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of April, 2011.

By: /s/ Todd M. Friedman
Todd M. Friedman (216752)
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.
369 S. Doheny Dr. #415
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
Phone: 877 206-4741
Fax: 866 633-0228
tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com

Attorney for Plaintiff